

















Roads/highways performance at a glance

Rotherham MBC

8047

These pages show your authority's performance for each performance indicator against the 2016/17 average performance of your family group. Whether your result has improved or not from 2015/16 is also shown. Icons are used to display this information and the idea of this report is that authorities can see 'at a glance' where improvements may need to be made. Where the box is blank, this indicates that there is no authority score available for this performance indicator or that there were less than three participants in this PI, meaning we are unable to produce a meaningful average score. The key to the icons are displayed below each table.

Performance indicators	Performance in 2016/17	Improved since 2015/16?^
Carriageway asset performance indicators		
Safety		
PI 03a Percentage of CAT1 defects made safe within response times	●	
PI 39a Percentage of safety inspections completed on time	●	—
PI 39b Percentage of planned kilometres of safety inspections completed	●	—
PI 114 Percentage of maintained network subject to salting regime	▲	—
Condition / Asset preservation		
PI 02b Condition of principal roads (TRACS type surveys - England and Wales only)	▲	—
PI 02c Condition of all non principal roads (England and Wales only)		
PI 02e Condition of non principal roads (Class B - England and Wales only)	◆	▼
PI 02f Condition of non principal roads (Class C - England and Wales only)	◆	▼
PI 02g Condition of unclassified roads (England and Wales only)	◆	—
PI 02d Condition of 'A' class carriageways (SRMCS type surveys - Scotland only)		
PI 02h Condition of 'B' class carriageways (SRMCS type surveys - Scotland only)		
PI 02i Condition of 'C' class carriageways (SRMCS type surveys - Scotland only)		
PI 02j Condition of unclassified carriageways (SRMCS type surveys - Scotland only)		
PI 28 Number of category one defects per km of maintained road	●	
PI 29 Percentage change in number of category one defects	●	
PI 34 Percentage of category 2 (high) repairs repaired within timescale	●	—
Third party claims		
PI 31b Percentage change in number of non-repudiated third party claims in last 3 years compared to previous 3 year period		
Financial		
PI 32 Service costs per gully	●	▼

Financial continued	Performance in 2016/17	Improved since 2015/16?^
PI 57a Total cost per kilometre of carriageway travelled for precautionary treatment		
PI 57b Total cost per kilometre of carriageway treated for precautionary salting		
PI 36b Ratio of annual carriageway claims costs to structural expenditure (pence per £)		
Footway asset performance indicators		
Safety		
PI 45a Percentage of CAT1 defects made safe within response times		
PI 46a Percentage of safety inspections completed on time		
PI 46b Percentage of planned kilometres of safety inspections completed		
PI 113 Percentage of total footways where precautionary gritting undertaken		
Condition / Asset preservation		
PI 48b Percentage of footway length treated (calculated from treatment types)		
Third party claims		
PI 31c Percentage change in number of non-repudiated third party claims in last 3 years compared to previous 3 year period		
Financial		
PI 58 Total cost per km of footway travelled for precautionary treatment		
PI 36c Ratio of annual footway claims costs to structural expenditure (pence per £)		
Traffic management system performance indicators		
Safety		
PI 55 Percentage of faults rectified within target time		
PI 56 Percentage of faults rectified on first visit		
Bridges and structures performance indicators		
Safety		
PI 300 Percentage of principal inspections carried out on time		
PI 301 Percentage of general inspections carried out on time		
Condition / Asset preservation		
PI 302 Bridge stock condition indicator - average BSCLav		
PI 303 Bridge stock condition indicator - average BSCcrit		
Functionality		
PI 304 Percentage of council owned bridges failing European standards		
PI 305 Percentage of council owned bridges with unacceptable height, weight or width restriction		
All asset types amalgamated performance indicators		
Customer service		
PI 37 Percentage of customer enquiries / requests for service closed off within council's own identified response times		

Customer service performance indicators continued	Performance in 2016/17	Improved since
PI 38 Percentage of abnormal load notifications dealt with in time		
PI 61 Percentage of enquiries made under the Freedom of Information Act that were dealt with within the allowable time	●	▲
Safety		
PI 59 Percentage of Cat 1 defects made safe within response times (carriageways and footways)	●	▬
PI 60 Km inspected per Safety Inspector (carriageways and footways)	●	▬
Financial		
PI 15a Percentage of total roads/highways function cost (revenue and capital) spent directly on roads/highways repairs	●	▬
PI 16 Percentage of actual maintenance expenditure which is planned/proactive	●	▬
PI 17 Percentage of actual maintenance expenditure that is reactive	●	▼
PI 36a Ratio of annual claims cost to structural expenditure		
Staff absence		
PI 54a / 54b Staff absence - all staff	●	▼
Third party claims		
PI 31a Percentage change in number of non repudiated third party claims in last 3 years compared to previous 3 year period		

- Performance for 2016/17 is better than the family group average
- ▲ Performance for 2016/17 is within 25% of the family group average
- ◆ Performance for 2016/17 is not as good as the family group average range above
- ▲ Performance for 2016/17 has improved from the 2015/16 result
- ▬ Performance for 2016/17 is within 5% of the 2015/16 result
- ▼ Performance for 2016/17 has deteriorated from the 2015/16 result

^ Please note that the cost performance may be affected by inflation and this should be taken into account

The following performance indicators are those where there is no preference to have a higher or lower result. However, for the purpose of this report, we have indicated for you whether your result is above or below the family group average.

Performance indicators	Performance in 2016/17
Carriageways asset performance indicators - Safety	
PI 62 Kg of salt used per km of road treated	↓
Carriageways asset performance indicators - Condition / asset preservation	
PI 40 Percentage of carriageway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	
PI 41a Percentage of carriageway length treated	
PI 41b Percentage of carriageway length treated (calculated from treatment types)	↑
PI 41c Percentage of carriageway square metres treated (calculated from treatment types)	↑
PI 15b Percentage of total carriageways function cost (revenue and capital) spent directly on carriageway repairs	↑

Carriageways asset performance indicators - Financial	Performance in 2016/17
PI 42a Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	↑
PI 42b Carriageway contractor maintenance expenditure by carriageway network length	↑
PI 42c Total carriageway maintenance expenditure by square metres of carriageway area treated	↓
PI 44 Actual investment as a percentage of steady state figure (Scotland only)	
PI 23 Percentage of roads/highways fabric maintenance expenditure that was spent on carriageways	↑
PI 43 Total cost for carriageway winter maintenance treatment over the entire winter period divided by the total carriageway network length	↓
Financial	
PI 63 Total expenditure by carriageway network length	↓
Footway asset performance indicators - Condition / asset preservation	
PI 47 Percentage of footway length to be considered for maintenance treatment	↑
PI 48a Percentage of footway length treated	↓
PI 48c Percentage of footway square metres treated (calculated from treatment types)	
Footway asset performance indicators - Financial	
PI 15c Percentage of total footways function cost (revenue and capital) spent directly on footway repairs	↑
PI 49a Total footway maintenance expenditure by footway length	↓
PI 49b Total footway contractor maintenance expenditure by footway network length	↓
PI 49c Total footway maintenance expenditure by square metres of footway area treated	↓
PI 24 Percentage of roads/highways fabric maintenance expenditure that was spent on footways	↓
PI 50 Total cost for footway winter maintenance treatment over the entire winter period divided by the total footway network length	↓
Traffic management performance indicators - Financial	
PI 306 Annual budget allocated as percentage of cost of identified work (from AMP - Scotland only)	
PI 307 Percentage of allocated budget spent per annum (Scotland only)	
PI 308 Cost of identified potential work as percentage of total structures valuation (Scotland only)	
All asset types amalgamated performance indicators - Financial	
PI 52 Percentage of actual maintenance expenditure (carriageways and footways) that is routine	
PI 35 Client cost ratio	↑

↓ Performance for 2016/17 is below the family group average

↑ Performance for 2016/17 is above the family group average

Data produced on 22/12/2017