



1201983	Q/S 39	19	Prunus serotina	Displaced kerf	20/11/16, re-inspected 2 trees on 30/05/16	100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 3 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201987	Q/S 95	19	Sorbus aucuparia	Poor structural condition		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are diseased or damaged	We advise that it would be prudent to remove and replace these trees	None Required	Nothing further to add.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continue with tree replacement as planned.	TP, SCC and Amey in agreement.	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	All advice considered	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A	
1206233	Q/S 83	19	Prunus serotina	Decay		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are diseased or damaged	We advise that it would be prudent to remove and replace these trees	None Required	Nothing further to add.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continue with tree replacement as planned.	TP, SCC and Amey in agreement.	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	All advice considered	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A	
1201987	Q/S 64	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Uplifted and cracked	20/11/16, re-inspected 2 trees on 30/05/16	100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove Tree	The tree is too close to a private property access to allow a suitable engineering solution to be considered		Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201987	Q/S 75	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Uplifted and cracked		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 2 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201982	Q/S 36	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Uplifted and cracked		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 2 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201987	Q/S 51-53, between	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Uplifted and cracked		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are diseased or damaged	We advise that it would be prudent to remove and replace these trees	None Required	Nothing further to add.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continue with tree replacement as planned.	TP, SCC and Amey in agreement.	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	All advice considered	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A	
1201987	Q/S 55	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Uplifted and cracked		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 2 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201986	Q/S 42-44	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Damaged and narrowed		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 2 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201986	Q/S 38	19	Prunus serotina	Decayed and kerf installed close		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are diseased or damaged	We advise that it would be prudent to remove and replace these trees	None Required	Nothing further to add.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continue with tree replacement as planned.	TP, SCC and Amey in agreement.	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	All advice considered	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A	
1201981	Q/S 49	19	Prunus serotina	FWW Uplifted and cracked		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 2 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201988	Q/S 56-58, between	19	Prunus serotina	Rooting over edging into the		100	30	30.00%	24	80.00%	24.00%	Trees which are healthy	We believe that it may be feasible to retain the remaining trees (those outside numbers 2, 6-8, 22-24, 30-32, 36, 39, 42-44, 46, 55, 56-58, 64 and 73) and remove the pavement by a combination of root pruning and excavation beneath the roots (solutions 8 and 10). This would require excavation, the removal of soil and other material, the selective pruning of roots, exposing the remaining roots and replacing the soil and other material, all to industry standards. We believe it may be necessary to utilise other solutions (for example solutions 1, 3 and 4), the need for which would have to be determined whilst work is being undertaken. We recognise, however, that in some instances, an effective engineering solution may not be feasible. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design other bespoke engineering solutions	Continue to design potential solutions and establish if funding can be secured	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Executive Director / Planner)	N/A	Remove 2 kerfs and replace 2 kerfs with dropker. Harrow Footway by 150mm over 15m. Remove and relay 15 of stone edging. Proceed with engineering solutions and associated work if funds available.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree and follow TP advice to provide additional information on reporting to residents (this will be in the new year)	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201714	A11 Junction Exit Rd. to bus stop at end road		Tilia x europae	Extensive die uplift and bark displacement									The tree adjacent to the junction of Ecclewell Road and the bus stop is in good condition with good life expectancy. The tree occupies a prominent position. There is no structural damage to the trunk or canopy. The tree is causing disruption to the pavement and has displaced some kerbs. Inspecting this tree would require excavation of the pavement to determine whether a level pavement could be installed, the marginal requirement of the kerb line for a level pavement and possibly a tree pit. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design the solution including swept path analysis	Design work being undertaken	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Head of Highway Maintenance)	N/A	An arborist is conducting a further uplift and canopy, measure the bus stop	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1201714	opp 25		Tilia x europae	Large buttress root in the above kerf, will be severed upon reconstruction									The tree opposite number 25 is in good condition with good life expectancy. There is no structural damage to the trunk or canopy. The tree is causing some disruption to the pavement and has displaced some kerbs. Inspecting this tree would require excavation of the pavement to determine whether a level pavement could be installed, the marginal requirement of the kerb line for a level pavement and possibly a tree pit. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Design the solution	Design work being undertaken	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Continue to Design work	SCC: (Head of Highway Maintenance)	N/A	Ecclewell PE providing additional kerf can be secured.	After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14.26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree	The financial implications	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	N/A
1200739	W4 park way 17	8	Tilia x europae	Poor physiological condition, crown dieback consistent with Amelaria malva infection	01/07/16 Re-inspected 14/07/16	103	65	63.11%	59	50.77%	57.28%	The eight trees	The tree opposite number 17 is showing signs of reduced vitality, with a sparse crown, some dieback and stem lesions. There are fungal fruiting bodies at the base of the tree. The tree may however recover, and as from an arboricultural perspective it would be appropriate to monitor the tree in question for a period of about 12 months, and if it is not showing any significant improvement, we would then recommend that the tree be removed. We therefore advise that the Council should reconsider the plan for these trees. We consider that in some instances it may not be possible to reach a final decision until the excavation of a tree is undertaken (solution 2), which would therefore need to be scheduled with the works to the road and pavement. We therefore advise that the Council may wish to put in place control measures to ensure that the contractor does not proceed with removal and replacement when an alternative engineering option would be feasible and reasonable.	Get an independent inspection into the health of the tree, before proceeding to consider options	Independent Surveying Required	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Independent Surveying Required	SCC: (Head of Highway Maintenance)	We stand by our original assessment of condition and safety of this tree	Continue to replace tree.	We have commissioned 2 independent assessments of this tree. The first survey identified Coprinopsis sp. fruiting bodies indicating leafy stem rot, decline in the upper canopy, dead wood, thinning foliage density and small leaves, decay at the soil / kerf interface as well as poor condition of several trunks from a previous infection. Further investigative work to determine the extent of the decay was recommended. Electrical resistance tomograph results highlighted that the extent of decay was deemed to "not extend significantly" beyond a 1/8 ratio of 0.3 (the nominal safe limit of decay within the inner portion of the stem). The second assessment identified further issues, namely a previous vehicle strike, previous utility works between 2007 and 2011 damaging the roots, and an EPF highlighting a reasonably large volume of the stem which is expected to undergo decay. In light of the incipient decay and decline, recommendations were made for the planting of new trees ready for when this tree needs to be removed. Amey are clear that they will not accept liabilities on this tree given their historic knowledge of the tree and previous confirmed footing failure. All views considered, we are of the opinion that it should be replaced now due to its limited safe retainable lifespan. The option to replace dead trees and remove remaining branches is offered to replace previous utility damage.	SCC: (Environmental Maintenance Engineer)	Replicate Tree	All advice considered	Simon Green	Executive Director (Place)	16/11/2016	Independent Tree Inspectors (5)

